UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
DIANA MEY, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated, Plaintiff,	-x : : : Case No. 15 Civ. 00854(PAC)
vs.	: ANSWER
YELLOWSTONE CAPITAL, LLC,	: :
Defendant.	:
X	

Defendant Yellowstone Capital, LLC ("Yellowstone" or "Defendant"), by and through its undersigned counsel, as and for its answer (the "Answer") to the Class Action Complaint dated February 2, 2015 (the "Complaint") of plaintiff Diana Mey, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated (the "Plaintiff"), alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT¹

- 1. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring this action under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (the "TCPA," or the "Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, refers to the TCPA for its contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. Defendant admits that Plaintiff has alleged purported violations of the TCPA and refers to the TCPA for its contents. Defendant lacks knowledge and information as to whether Plaintiff's cell phone number was on the "national do not call list", and on that basis denies that allegation. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring this action as a class action and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

¹ Section headings reproduced from the Complaint are for organizational purposes only and do not reflect Defendant's admission or denial of the contents thereof.

4. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

PARTIES

- 5. Defendant denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 6. Defendant admits that Defendant's principal office is in New York, New York, and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to establish jurisdiction as stated in paragraph 7 of the Complaint and otherwise denies the allegations in said paragraph.
- 8. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to lay venue as stated in paragraph 8 of the Complaint and otherwise denies the allegations in said paragraph.

TCPA BACKGROUND

- 9. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and its legislative history are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the TCPA and its legislative history for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and its legislative history are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the TCPA and its legislative history for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and the regulations promulgated under the Act are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to

the TCPA for its contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

- 12. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and the regulations promulgated under the Act are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the TCPA and its related regulations for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and the regulations promulgated under the Act are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the TCPA and its related regulations for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
- 14. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the National Do Not Call Registry and its related regulations are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the legislation and regulations related to the National Do Not Call Registry for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Defendant admits that it purchases future receivables from select businesses. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- 16. Defendant admits that at times it has used telephone marketing techniques to attempt to generate business. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
- 17. Defendant alleges that it did not place any call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

 Defendant lacks knowledge and information as to whether a third party placed a call and claimed

to be acting for Defendant or some other company, and on that basis, denies the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

- 18. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 19. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 20. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 20 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 21. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 21 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 22. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 22 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 23. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 24. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 24 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 25. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring this action as a class action in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
- 26. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring this action on behalf of the class and subclasses as defined in paragraph 26 of the Complaint and otherwise denies the allegations

in paragraph 26 of the Complaint. Defendant specifically denies Plaintiff has defined a valid, ascertainable class.

- 27. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to exclude certain individuals and entities from the class as stated in paragraph 27 of the Complaint and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- 28. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 28 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 29. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information as to the number of persons who might be part of the purported class defined by Plaintiff, and on that basis, denies that allegation. Defendant denies all the allegations in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
- 30. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 30 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.
- 31. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Complaint, including its subparts.
 - 32. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 32 of the Complaint.
 - 33. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 33 of the Complaint.
 - 34. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 34 of the Complaint.
 - 35. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 35 of the Complaint.
 - 36. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 36 of the Complaint.
- 37. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.

LEGAL CLAIMS

Count One:

Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)

- 38. Defendant repeats and re-alleges each response contained in the preceding paragraphs of the Answer as if set forth herein.
 - 39. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 39 of the Complaint.
 - 40. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 40 of the Complaint.

Count Two: Injunctive Relief

- 41. Defendant repeats and re-alleges each response contained in the preceding paragraphs of the Answer as if set forth herein.
- 42. Defendant states that the allegations concerning the TCPA and the regulations promulgated under the Act are legal conclusions to which no response is required and refers to the TCPA and its related regulations for their contents; to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 42 of the Complaint.
- 43. Defendant admits that the Plaintiff purports to seek relief as stated in paragraph 43 of the Complaint and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 43 of the Complaint.

RELIEF SOUGHT

44. Defendant denies the allegations in Plaintiff's request for relief (*i.e.*, paragraphs numbered 1 through 5 on pages 7 to 8 of the Complaint), and specifically denies that the Defendant is liable to Plaintiff in any amount or manner whatsoever and further denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to any relief in this action.

GENERAL DENIAL

45. Defendant denies each and every allegation in the Complaint that is not expressly admitted above.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

46. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

47. Plaintiff lacks standing to assert claims for relief purportedly alleged in the Complaint.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

48. Plaintiff, and those Plaintiff purports to represent, seek an award of statutory damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227 that would violate the due process rights afforded Defendant under the United States Constitution.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

49. Defendant alleges that its actions, conduct and dealings were lawful as authorized by applicable state and federal statutes, rules and regulations, and such actions and conduct were carried out in good faith for legitimate purposes.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

50. Defendant has established and implemented, with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent telephone solicitations in violation of the regulations prescribed under the TCPA.

ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

51. Defendant reserves the right to assert any additional affirmative defenses that become available or apparent during the pendency of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Yellowstone demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety and ordering Plaintiff to compensate Yellowstone for its costs, disbursements,

reasonable attorneys' fees and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York April 16, 2015

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP

By: Managery C. Johnson

gregory.johnson@kattenlaw.com

New York, NY 10022 Ph.: (212) 940-8800 Fax: (212) 940-8776

Stuart M. Richter (admitted pro hac vice) stuart.richter@kattenlaw.com 2029 Century Park East Suite 2600

Los Angeles, CA. 90067 Ph.: (310) 788-4400 Fax: (310) 788-4471

Attorneys for Defendant Yellowstone Capital, LLC

TO:

BAILEY & GLASSER LLP (via ECF filing)

Kevin W. Barrett kbarrett@baileyglasser.com John W. Barrett jbarrett@baileyglasser.com Johnathan R. Marshall jmarshall@baileyglasser.com 209 Capitol Street Charleston, WV 25301 Ph.: (304) 345-6555

Fax: (304) 342-1110 Co-counsel for Plaintiff Diana Mey, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated

BRODERICK LAW, P.C. (via ECF filing) Edward Anthony Broderick ted@broderick-law.com Anthony@broderick-law.com

Case 1:15-cv-00854-PAC Document 10 Filed 04/16/15 Page 9 of 9

125 Summer Street, Suite 1030

Boston, MA 02110 Ph.: (617) 738-7080

Fax: (617) 830-0327

Co-counsel for Plaintiff Diana Mey, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated

THE LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW P. MCCUE (via first class mail)

Matthew P. McCue mmcue@massattorneys.net 1 South Avenue, Suite 3

Natick, MA 01760 Ph.: (508) 655-1415 Fax: (508) 319-3077

Co-counsel for Plaintiff Diana Mey, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated